- 1. Peer Review of Calleguas Creek Watershed Organochlorine (OC) Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) TMDL, Neal E. Armstrong, Ph.D., Provost, University of Texas
- 2. Peer Review of Technical Component of the Mugu Lagoon Siltation TMDL, Neal E. Armstrong, Ph.D., Provost, University of Texas

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
01	Dr. Neal E.	06/02/05	Soundness of Scientific Knowledge, Method, and Practices	
	Armstrong / OC		Many areas of the watershed were 303(d) list based on assessment	This TMDL addresses the full range of concerns associated
	Pesticides and PCBs		guidelines, the TMDL did not identify any OC pesticides or PCBs	with OC pesticides and PCBs beyond toxicity including
			causing toxicity in water or sediment; thus, the connection between	bioaccumulation, persistence, and sediment targets in spite of
			constituents and their effects is not clear	the fact that the connection between constituents and their
				effects is not perfectly understood. Concerns relating to
				toxicity are also addressed in the CCW Toxicity TMDL.
			This TMDL was performed without consideration of confounding	Staff disagree. Numeric targets in addition to water column
			water quality problems that could overshadow the toxic effects of	toxicity targets are used to ensure protection of beneficial
			the OC pesticides and PCBs.	uses. A conservative approach was used to identify potential
				areas of impairments. The implementation plan including
				special studies, sources control activities, BMPs, and a
				monitoring program is prepared to identify undefined sources
				and to reduce active sources of OC pesticides and PCBs to
				meet water quality objectives.
			In a TMDL such as this with constituents that are infrequently	Staff agree. Special studies included in the implementation

<sup>\*</sup> For a complete version of comments, please refer to the attached comment letters

- 1 - June 30, 2005

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			detected, that are decreasing in concentration in the study area over	plan will examine degradation rates, food web effects, and
			time, and that have not been shown to be causing apparent harm to	bioaccumulation. Additionally, a provision is included in the
			the biota in the watershed, a conservative approach is needed to	TMDL to allow for reevaluation of WLAs and LAs, if results
			identify potential areas of concern and the approaches and costs for	of special studies and/or monitoring indicate allocations are
			the remediation so that rational decision may be made about the	inappropriate.
			implementation of the TMDL.	
			There is a paradox that perhaps further sampling and analysis will	Notes taken. The technical report document has been revised.
			explain. The DDE loads to the tributaries from point and non-point	Estimates of DDE loading in water (dissolved + particulate)
			sources are estimated to total 32.9 lbs/yr (Table 52) and the DDE loads	made according to land-use-specific water data yield
			to Mugu Lagoon from Calleguas Creek and Revolon Slough are	significantly different values than estimates made according to
			estimated to be 9.1 lb/yr (Table 54), although it appears it should be 9.4	in-stream data. The results of special studies and monitoring
			lb/yr (6 lb/yr from Revolon Slough and 3.4 lb/yr from Calleguas	will address this issue. Staff also agree that Mugu Lagoon
			Creek). This would imply that 23.8 lb/yr remain in the tributaries and	may be a sink for DDE and the siltation portion of this TMDL
			that only 28% of the point and non-point source load is transported to	addresses sediment load reductions, and thereby OC
			Mugu Lagoon. If this is the case, then there should be a build-up of DDE	Pesticides and PCB reductions.
			in the tributaries and almost certainly in the sediment. Yet, Figure 2	
			shows a long-term decrease for sediment DDE in the tributary. Since	
			DDE is primary associated with sediments, one would also expect a	
			significant long-term buildup of sediments in the tributaries given the	
			imbalance between DDE loads to the tributary and DDE transport	
			downstream, yet that is contrary to fluvial dynamics in a tributary like	
			this in which overall erosion and loss of sediments via transport	

- 2 - June 30, 2005

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			downstream is expected. This would suggest that there is a sink for DDE	
			which is not yet factored into the mass balance, and the mechanism for	
			that loss can be decay of the DDE, transport of DDE-laden sediments	
			downstream, or both. The authors suspect that significant transport of	
			DDE is occurring during wet weather events, and the water column	
			DDE concentrations in Table 56 suggest that. It is not clear, however, if	
			these water column concentrations are dissolved phase, particulate	
			phase, or total water concentrations for DDE. If they are indeed dissolved	
			phase concentrations, then there is a significant amount of DDE on the	
			suspended sediment which was not measured. During wet weather	
			events, one can expect significant transport of sediments scoured from	
			the stream bed and with those sediments there would be significant DDE	
			transport. It may well be that wetweather transport in the stream itself	
			accounts for enough transport to remove far more DDE from the	
			watershed than has been calculated. On the other hand, Table 55	
			indicates that the lower estimate for half-life (or time for 50% decrease in	
			concentration) of DDE is 1,000 days (or 2.74 yrs); the loss rate of	
			sediment DDE shown in Figure 22 is close to that half-life. From this	
			field data, it appears that natural decay could be accounting for the loss	
			of DDE on the sediments and that the 28% of DDE entering the	
			tributaries and being transported to Mugu Lagoon represents the	
			remaining DDE after decay processes have reduced its concentration on	

- 3 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			the sediments.	
			The Linkage Analysis in Section 6 is a good conceptual approach,	Language in Technical Report which discusses the role of
			but there are some fundamental errors in both concepts and models that	selected numeric targets (p.82) has been modified to read
			must be addressed. First, the authors state (p 82) that numeric targets	"The numeric targets selected for OCs in fish tissue, water,
			for OCs in fish tissue define acceptable levels for protection of human	and sediments define acceptable levels for protection of
			health and wildlife, while numeric targets for water and sediment protect	human health, fish, benthic organisms, and wildlife."
			lower trophic level organisms and help trace impairment in biota back to	
			sources. It is true that there are guidelines for fish tissue concentrations	
			that will pose a hazard to human health if the tissue is consumed in large	
			enough quantities for a long enough period of time, but there are also fish	
			tissue concentrations determined to be hazardous to the health of the	
			fish. These should not be confused. Further, there are concentration of	
			OCs in water that are lethal or sublethal to fish; the bioassay literature	
			from the 1950s forward and particularly the development of toxic	
			material concentrations for aquatic life are based on toxicity testing data	
			for fish and invertebrates; thus, numeric targets for OCs in water and	
			sediment are not limited to lower trophic level organisms. The basic	
			assumption of the TMDL analysis made by the authors, which is that	
			actions to reduce OC concentrations in sediments will reduce OC	
			concentrations in fish tissue and in the water column, is not a bad	
			assumption, but the assumption about the roles of the numeric targets is.	

- 4 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			There is a serious misconception about bioconcentration vs.	Note taken. The Technical Report has been revised. The term
			bioaccumulation found on page 84.	'bioaccumulate' was used, when the term 'bioconcentrate'
				should have been employed. Changed per comment.
			The statement on page 82 that "The primary removal mechanism of OCs	Staff agree. See revised technical support document.
			from the watershed is by flushing to the Pacific Ocean via Mugu	
			Lagoon" is not substantiated by any data presented in the TMDL.	
			This may be happening, but the sediments in the Lagoon need to be	
			examined and the mass of DDE in them estimated to determine what	
			sediment (and hence DDE) trapping is occurring in the Lagoon.	
			What trapping is occurring is most likely leading to permanent	
			burial of the DDE and other OCs in the sediments.	
			It would help to have some estimate of the amount of fish and	During the process of developing the OCs TMDL, the idea of
			shellfish taken from the watershed and consumed by recreational	conducting a study to estimate the amount of fish and shellfish
			fishermen so that something close to actual consumption rates may be	consumed by humans in the CCW was considered. Due to
			used in the equations used to determine human health water quality	logistic challenges and budget limitations, the study was not
			targets. Thus, a proportionality factor may be used, but the	conducted. Given the relatively low rates of consumption by
			magnitude of this proportionality factor is not addressed. It is highly	humans suspected to occur in the CCW (based on field
			likely, however, that human health water quality criteria based on	observation and anecdotal evidence), human health water
			consumption of non-carcinogens at food consumption rates likely to	quality criteria used for this TMDL are protective and
			occur for fish and shellfish taken from Calleguas Creek and Mugu Lagoon	appropriate.
			are far higher than those used as targets in this TMDL.	

- 5 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			The second linkage is between fish tissue OC concentrations and OC	The special study which examines food web dynamics and
			concentrations in sediments. While this conceptual linkage has merit	bioaccumulation will provide critical information for better
			and the use of the BAF to relate OC sediment concentrations via	understanding the relationship. The WLAs and LAs are
			water OC concentrations to fish tissue OC concentrations also has	subject to reevaluation by the Regional Board based upon
			merit, relating the BAF to sediment OC concentrations as depicted in	findings of the study.
			Figure 24 does not have merit. As noted earlier, the BAF represents the	
			fish tissue OC concentration compared to the OC concentration in water	
			after passing through the food chain and after accounting for	
			bioconcentration. It accounts for the various update and depuration	
			processes that occur in organisms and, except for the filter feeders, relies	
			primary on organisms in the food chain being exposed to water rather	
			than sediment, whether it is water in the water column or pore water.	
			Even the filter feeders recover only a portion of the OCs from the	
			sediment consumed, meaning that sediment OC concentrations cannot	
			be assumed to be totally assimilated. With the concentration of	
			sediments in the sediment layer and the hydrophobic nature of the OCs,	
			the pore-water concentrations while high are perhaps a few percent at	
			most of the sediment OC concentrations. Therefore, the relationship	
			between OC in the sediment, OC in fish, and the BAF cannot be	
			supported. The authors note that they are developing food chain	
			models for the watershed. They may wish to examine the food chain	
			models discussed on pages 565-576 in Thomann and Mueller (1987);	

- 6 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			this is essentially the model used by the USEPA in its toxic material	
			implementation guide (USEPA 1991).	
			The third linkage is that OC concentrations in water are a function of	The water concentration referred to is not particulate phase.
			OC concentrations in sediment. The authors do not make clear that the	Rather it is total water concentration (dissolved + particulate).
			water concentration referred to is actually the particulate phase	Since most of the OC content in a given sample of water is
			concentration. The dissolved phase concentration will be small	associated with the particulate phase, total water
			because of the large partition coefficients for OCs, but the water	concentrations (dissolved + particulate) will decrease with
			concentration (or total water concentration) will be the sum of the	decreasing sediment concentrations. Language was added to
			two phases. Keeping the TSS (m) concentration low will keep the	the Technical Report to clarify this point. Further, the
			particulate phase concentration (c <sub>P</sub> ) in the water low, but it does not	siltation portion of this TMDL establishes wasteload and load
			change the concentration of the OCs on the sediment (r). Natural	allocations to reduce sediment loads, and thereby OC
			processes, however, appear to be lowering r as shown in Figure 22, and	pesticides and PCBs loads, to the watershed.
			that is the desired result noted on page 92.	
			The last linkage is that OC concentrations in sediment are a function of	Note taken. The Implementation Plan contains a special
			OC loading and sediment transport. While the authors have assumed a	study to address uncertainties in sediment transport.
			simplified one-box model for OC loading and sediment transport in	
			the tributaries, it is a reasonable approach at this point because of all	
			the unknowns documented in the TMDL. Their analysis reveals	
			however that sediment transport estimates are needed to make the	
			approach work. An alternate approach is the plug flow model	
			approach for toxic materials in rivers and streams described in Thomann	
			and Mueller (1987) on pages 522-527 and using the natural decay rates	

- 7 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			discussed above. This model assumes a fixed sediment bed, although	
			enhancements of this approach in USEPA-supported mathematical	
			models like WASP do include sediment transport as well. Calibrating	
			such models, however, is difficult, and its use is not warranted here at	
			this time.	
			Specific Issues:	
			- Validity of Using a 1:1 Linear Relationship Between Water,	Figure 27 in the Technical Report has been revised per
			Sediments, and Fish Tissue	comment (BAF used to describe fish:sediment, and partition
			The numerical targets for fish tissue and water concentrations to	coefficient used to describe water:sediment). A special study
			protect human health used herein are the wrong targets because	included in the Implementation Plan will examine the validity
			they do not reflect conditions in the watershed. Further, the BAF	of the 1:1 relationship, and WLAs and LAs reevaluated if
			could represent in a proportional relationship the ratio of OC	necessary.
			concentrations in fish to OC concentrations in sediments via OC	
			concentrations in water which are in equilibrium with the OC	
			concentrations in sediments through sorption/desorption processes.	
			It is not clear, however, that the BAF represents this ratio	
			numerically as suggested by the equation in Figure 27. The BAF	
			cannot be equated to the ratio of the OC concentration in water and	
			the OC concentrations in sediment as shown in the same figure; that	
			is a sorption/desorption partition coefficient relationship.	
			The validity of using a 1:1 linear relationship between water,	
			sediments, and fish tissue is a different matter. These relationships	

- 8 - June 30, 2005

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			can be stated mathematically as Thomann and Mueller (1987) have	
			done, and as long as the relationships are linear then there is a	
			proportional reduction in fish or water OC concentrations with	
			reduction in sediment OC concentrations. Whether it is a 1:1	
			relationship is not clear at all and would require applying those	
			equations to Calleguas Creek to confirm such a relationship.	
			Assuming that a percent reduction in OC concentrations in fish or	
			water is produced by an equal percent reduction in OC in sediments	
			cannot be supported with the analysis presented.	
			- Validity of Using an Implicit, Rather Than an Explicit, Margin	
			of Safety	
			A conservative approach needs to be taken not only in assuming	Staff agree that the implicit margin of safety is appropriate
			an initial large Margin of Safety but also in how constituent control	
			measures are selected and phased in. This conservative approach	
			with an assumed initial large Margin of Safety was implicitly	
			incorporated into the TMDL through the assessment guidelines used	
			as the basis for 303(d) listing and later using more restrictive	
			numerical targets to specify goals for the TMDL.	
			- Validity of Selecting DDE as a Representative Constituent for the Linkage Analysis	Staff agree that selecting DDE is appropriate
			Clarifications needed	
			Table 4 Text needs to state definitions of abbreviations in Remaining	Note taken. See revised technical support document.
			Beneficial Uses portion of table	

- 9 - June 30, 2005

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			Table 5 Tissue level units should reflect whether Kg tissue is wet or	Note taken. Table 5 revised per comment to show that (wet or
			dry weight	dry) is the appropriate unit.
			Table 6. The water quality level used to determine percent exceedances for 4,4'-DDT is never given. Also not given is whether these are total, dissolved, or particulate water concentrations.	Notes taken. Information not available at this time because different monitoring programs used different sampling and reporting protocols.
02	Dr. Neal E.	06/24/05		
	Armstrong /		Overview: The authors have done quite a commendable job of	Note taken
	Siltation		synthesizing the available siltation data for Mugu Lagoon and	
			sediment transport data for the Calleguas Creek watershed. They	
			have identified data gaps and developed an adaptive management	
			approach including a research component with guidance from a	
			Science Advisory Panel to determine additional needs and	
			approaches.	
			Problem Statement:	
			Perhaps the greatest need in this TMDL is to develop that	Staff agree and expect the Special Study will address the
			conceptual framework so that different ways of estimating and	conceptual framework of sediment origin, transport,
			representing sediment sources, the processes of sediment transport	deposition, and compaction.
			through the tributaries, and the sedimentation and compaction of	
			sediments in the Lagoon can be interrelated. It is also important to	
			understand the long-term and short-term changes in fluvial	
			dynamics caused by land use changes and sediment control systems.	
			Action that may appear to be effective in the short term in	

- 10 - June 30, 2005

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			controlling siltation may in fact in the long term be quite	
			deleterious.	
			Current estuaries are relatively recent features geologically, created	In addition to fluvial processes that naturally alter coastal
			following the last major glaciation period as the sea level rose	estuaries, staff would like to add between 1951 and 1961, the
			inundating riverine channels. With the stabilization of sea level in	Naval Base removed sediment from the central basin area of
			the past few millennia, the geomorphic features of estuaries have	the Lagoon (to 30' below Mean Sea Level), which
			been modified by sediment loads delivered from the rivers that enter	dramatically increased the sedimentation rate within the
			them, the sediments transported along shore to them, and the	Lagoon. By approximately 1998, the central basin was re-
			reworking of those sediments by tidal action through the opening to	filled. The effects of these historic alterations will be studied
			the ocean and flood events from the rivers. Mugu lagoon, it can	as part of the Implementation Plan. Further, while the author
			safely be stated, has never been a stable system, and the geology of	correctly states that estuaries reflect a dynamic process, the
			this system, if it is not know already, to understand how it has	rates of those processes can affect the life of the estuary and
			responded to the sediment loads historically.	can be affected by intervention.
			The other significant need of this TMDL is to relate specifically the	Staff agree and expect the Special Study to investigate the
			impacts of elevated levels of sedimentation/siltation on beneficial	connection between elevated sedimentation/siltation and the
			uses for Mugu lagoon. At his point the 303(d) listing states that	loss of beneficial uses in Mugu Lagoon.
			there is a loss of beneficial uses; however it is possible to relate to	
			some extent what specific relationships are between sediment	
			concentrations and beneficial use losses is possible to some extent	
			with available literature data. For example, there is abundant	
			literature on the effects of siltation on Navigation. There is	
			significant literature on the effects of siltation and suspended	

- 11 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			sediment on finfish, shellfish, benthic organisms, etc. related to the	
			Commercial and Sport Fishing, Estuarine, Marine and Wildlife	
			Habitat, preservation of Biological Habitat, Rare, Threatened or	
			Endangered Species Habitat, Migration of Aquatic Organisms,	
			Spawning, Reproduction, and/or early Development and Shellfish	
			harvesting.	
			Where estimates are available, it is not totally clear whether they	The references were grouped into discussions of silt (<6mm)
			include sand, silt and clay or just silt and clay or just silt. In some	and total sediment (all mm), For referenced studies that
			instances, "silt" appears to be used to represent all of the sediment	reported the total sediment load, the silt portion of that
			rather than the portion represented by a certain size class. This	sediment load was estimated and used in the Technical
			interchanging of terms is confusing and in relation to fluvial	Components of the Mugu Lagoon Siltation TMDL
			dynamics will means quite different things.	Memorandum, unless total sediment was explicitly noted.
			Finally, the estimated for sediment loading using "loss of capacity"	Staff agree that sedimentation rates based on density may be
			appear underestimated. Assuming that 'loss of capacity' in this case	too underestimated, but the most recent studies used this
			refers to sediment build-up in the bottom Mugu lagoon and the	value. Many different approaches, including the one
			material of concern is the sediment that displaces the water in the	proposed, could be used to select a value. The TMDLs
			Lagoon and the water being displaced, the bulk density (mass of	adaptive management approach is based on an initial minimal
			sediment plus water/volume) of 1000 kg/m3 significantly	load reduction that will be verified through Special Studies.
			underestimates the amount of sediment load needed to produce that	
			loss of capacity.	
			Linkage Analysis: The consequences of sediment accumulation	Staff agree that the RMA published data may underestimate
			throughout Mugu lagoon by RMS 92003) may need to be re-	the siltation impairment. The Implementation Plan includes a

- 12 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			evaluated if the bulk density needs to be changed as noted above.	re-evaluation of the sediment WLAs and LAs.
			On page 6, it is noted that Total Suspended Solids (TSS) may be the	Staff appreciate the comment, but is referencing information
			measurement by which silt reduction in the upper reaches may be	contained in other documents. Future use of USGS gauge
			monitored. The test also mentions that the USGGS gauge near the	information will clarify the measure. The Executive Officer
			University rating curve predicted certain TSS values. Confirmation	has discretion to approve TMDL monitoring program to
			is needed that these are indeed TSS measurements, because the	include both TSS and settable solid
			USGS uses a Suspended Sediment (SS) measurement rather than	
			TSS.	
			Critical Conditions: As stated on page 7, the critical conditions for	Staff agree that a definition of critical conditions would be
			this siltation TMDL considers both of wet and dry periods. The	desirable and is best developed by the Special Study as WLAs
			authors may wish to review a just-published article by Zhang and	and LAs are refined.
			Yu (2005) on the TMDL Critical Condition. Zhang, a principal	
			engineer with Parsons Corporation in Fairfax VA and Yu, professor	
			emeritus at the University of Virginia, suggest that a low-flow	
			analysis method using steady-state models be used for dry weather	
			conditions because such models are simple and well established. For	
			wet weather conditions, however, they recommend an event-based	
			critical flow-storm approach because the approach: (1) explicitly	
			addresses the critical condition as a combination of stream flow,	
			magnitude of storm event, and initial watershed condition; (2) offers	
			the ability to estimate the risk and return period – thus, the nonpoint	
			source management plan could be linked with its corresponding	

- 13 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			return period to determine the reasonable assurance of the TMDL	
			implementation; and (3) is an event-based approach which is less	
			data intensive. They also note that current research shows that the	
			critical flow-storm concept will be more pronounced for urban and	
			smaller watersheds.	
			Margin of safety: Several clarifications are needed for the margin of	The total load and wasteload allocation is 5,200 tons/yr
			safety given in Table 6. First, the silt numeric target is given as	reduction of sediment delivered to Mugu Lagoon. This
			5,200 tons/yr reduction; is this in fact the case? Is not the estimated	allocation of 5,200 tons/year sediment reductions is based on
			load being reduced to 5,200 tons/yr and the Margin of Safety comes	an estimate of the recently measured loss of capacity,
			from the conservative nature of the value.	increased sediment drape and loss of potential area for benthic
				habitat. Removing this amount of sediment each year could
				result in a balance between incoming and outgoing sediment
				and stabilization of the lagoon geometry. While a greater
				decrease, including the ones proposed by the commenter
				could eliminate siltation problems they could also lead to
				short-term erosion of habitat and would not be recommended
				without additional studies.
				The Margin of safety discussion includes other aspects of the
				analysis which provide room for error.
			Allocations: At the beginning of this section, the same confusion as	Staff agree that a larger allocation and larger reductions may
			noted above under Margin of Safety is found, i.e. the 5,200 tons/yr	well be justified. However, in this TMDL's adaptive

- 14 -

No.	Commentator/	Date	Comment*	Response
	TMDL			
			is a target that loads will be reduced to rather than being a load	management plan, the initial reduction is estimated to be
			reduction of that amount. This needs to be clarified.	based on a measured loss of capacity, and is considered an
				appropriate initial reduction.
			Clarifications	Staff agree with the comments as listed, with the exception of
				a change in the density of the sediments as discussed under
				comment 4 above.

- 15 - June 30, 2005